

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

1

The Minutes

July 10, 2006

- C048349 THE PEOPLE v. GORSI (Not for Publication)**
The conditions of probation are modified to delete the requirement that defendant pay the costs of probation supervision; however, the order that defendant pay such costs is affirmed. As modified, the probation order (judgment) is affirmed.
SIMS, Acting P.J.
We Concur: Hull, J.
Robie, J.
- C050373 THE PEOPLE v. BOONE (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. The probation revocation fine reflected in the clerk's transcript is ordered stricken.
HULL, J.
We Concur: Scotland, P.J.
Cantil-Sakauye, J.
- C050847 THE PEOPLE v. STEWART (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is modified... The trial court is directed to amend the abstract of judgment accordingly and to send a certified copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.
BUTZ, J.
We Concur: Hull, Acting P.J.
Cantil-Sakauye, J.
- C049786 In re CHRISTOPHER C.; THE PEOPLE v. CHRISTOPHER C. (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
NICHOLSON, J.
We Concur: Scotland, P.J.
Raye, J.

July 11, 2006

- C050197 THE PEOPLE v. PENA (Not for Publication)**
The conviction for false imprisonment (count 2) is reversed. In all other respects the judgment is affirmed.
DAVIS, J.
We Concur: Scotland, P.J.
Nicholson, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

2

The Minutes

July 11, 2006, continued

- C050677 THE PEOPLE v. DIESSNER III (Not for Publication)**
The imposition of the booking fee is affirmed; however, the civil judgment entered against defendant and in favor of the City of Yuba City for that fee is vacated. As modified, the judgment (order of probation) is affirmed.
ROBIE, J.
We Concur: Blease, Acting P.J.
 Morrison, J.
- C050836 THE PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
NICHOLSON, J.
We Concur: Sims, Acting P.J.
 Raye, J.
- C050892 THE PEOPLE v. QUENNEVILLE (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
CANTIL-SAKAUYE, J.
We Concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Hull, J.
- C051765 THE PEOPLE v. KISER (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
SCOTLAND, P.J.
We Concur: Nicholson, J.
 Raye, J.
- C050528 BRIDGEMAN et al. c. McPHERSON, as Secretary of State, etc. (CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION)**
The judgment is reversed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 27 (a)(4).)
SIMS, Acting P.J.
We Concur: Raye, J.
 Hull, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

3

The Minutes

July 12, 2006

**MISC. ORDER 2006-006
AMENDED**

Effective October 2, 2006, the following order relates to the implementation of the Medication Program adopted by the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District. (See Ct. App. Third Dist., Local Rules of Ct., rule 1, Mediation in Civil Appeals (*adopted eff. October 2, 2006*).)

By the Court:

Upon filing of any civil notice of appeal, the provisions of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 of the California Rules of Court requiring designation of the record and payment of estimated costs for preparation of the record are suspended, pending this court's decision to select or not select the civil appeal for mediation. If a civil appeal is selected for mediation, the suspension of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 remains in effect until completion of mediation. If a civil appeal is not selected for mediation, the coordinator of the Mediation Program must concurrently notify the parties, the superior court, and this court, in writing, that suspension of rules, 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 is terminated. The parties' obligation to comply with the requirements of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 and commences as if notice of appeal was filed on the date specified in the notification.

If the parties stipulate to placement of a civil appeal in the Mediation Program, then upon the superior courts timely receipt of its copy of that stipulation, the provisions of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 requiring designation of the record and payment of the estimated costs of preparation of the record are suspended. If this court accepts the stipulation and refers the case for mediation, suspension of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 remains in effect until completion of mediation. If the case is not referred to mediation, the coordinator of the Mediation Program must concurrently notify the parties, the superior court, and this court, in writing, that suspension of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 is terminated. The parties' obligation to comply with the requirements of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 commences as if notice of appeal was filed on the date specified in the notification.

If completion of mediation does not result in disposition of the appeal, the coordinator of the Mediation Program must, within 10 days after notice of completion of the mediation, notify the parties, the superior court, and this court, in writing, that suspension of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 is terminated. The parties' obligation to comply with the requirements of rules 4, 5, 5.1, 5.2, 6, and 7 commences as if notice of appeal was filed on the date specified in the notification.

4

July 12, 2006, continued

Nothing in this order prohibits the superior court from collecting the deposit required by section 68926.1 of the Government Code and by rule 1(b)(2) of the California Rules of Court.

Nicholson, J.

Davis, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

5

The Minutes

July 14, 2006, continued

- C049742 THE PEOPLE v. LOWERY (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. The clerk of the superior court is directed to amend the Terms and Conditions of Formal Probation to reflect that defendant was convicted of violating Penal Code section 243, subdivision (a).
BLEASE, Acting P.J.
We Concur: Davis, J.
 Hull, J.
- C051271 THE PEOPLE v. DONALD (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
HULL, J.
We Concur: Sims, Acting P.J.
 Cantil-Sakauye, J.
- C048499 MID VALLEY AGRICULTURAL SERVICES, INC., et al. v. MCCARTY et al. (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is reversed and the case is remanded to the trial court to vacate the judgment entered on October 5, 2004, and reinstate the judgment entered on June 24, 2004, as its final judgment. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 27 (a).)
NICHOLSON, J.
We Concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
 Morrison, J.